arXiv:0708.1789v1 [math.RA] 14 Aug 2007

Extensions of McCoy Rings

Zhiling Ying[a], **Jianlong Chen**[a] **and Zhen Lei**[a,b]

[a] Department of Mathematics, Southeast University
Nanjing 210096, P.R. China
[b]Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China
E-mail: zhilingying@yahoo.com.cn
E-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn
E-mail: wuhuleizhen@126.com

Abstract

A ring R is said to be right McCoy if the equation $f(x)g(x) = 0$, where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are nonzero polynomials of $R[x]$, implies that there exists nonzero $s \in R$ such that $f(x)s = 0$. It is proven that no proper (triangular) matrix ring is one-sided McCoy. If there exists the classical right quotient ring Q of a ring R, then R is right McCoy if and only if Q is right McCoy. It is shown that for many polynomial extensions, a ring R is right McCoy if and only if the polynomial extension over R is right McCoy. Other basic extensions of right McCoy rings are also studied.

Keywords: matrix ring, McCoy ring, polynomial ring, upper triangular matrix ring.
Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16U80; Secondary 16S99.

1. **Introduction**

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with identity. Given a ring R, the polynomial ring over R is denoted by $R[x]$, and the ring of $n \times n$ matrices (resp., upper triangular matrices) over R is denoted by $M_n(R)$ (resp., $T_n(R)$).

Recently, Nielsen [8] called a ring R right McCoy if the equation $f(x)g(x) = 0$, where $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]\backslash\{0\}$, implies that there exists $s \in R\backslash\{0\}$ such that $f(x)s = 0$. Left McCoy rings are defined analogously. McCoy rings are the left and right McCoy rings. In [8, Claim 7 and 8], it is shown that there exists a left McCoy ring but not right McCoy. The name "McCoy" was chosen because McCoy [7] had noted that every commutative ring satisfies this condition. Reversible rings (that is, $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$) are McCoy [8, Theorem 2], and the relationships among these rings and other related rings are discussed in [5, 8]. A ring R is called an Armendariz ring [9] if $(\sum_{i=0}^{s} a_i x^i)(\sum_{j=0}^{t} b_j x^j) = 0$ in $R[x]$ then $a_i b_j = 0$ for all i and j. Armendariz rings are McCoy by definition and an example below shows that McCoy rings need not be Armendariz. Therefore, McCoy rings are shown to be a unifying generalization of reversible rings and Armendariz rings.

In this paper, at first we consider whether the property "McCoy" is Morita invariant. It is proven that for any ring R and $n \geq 2$, $M_n(R)$ (resp., $T_n(R)$) is neither left nor right McCoy. Sequentially, we argue the property "McCoy" of some kinds of polynomial rings. For many polynomial extensions, a ring R is right McCoy if and only if the polynomial extension over R is right McCoy. It is also proven that if there exists the classical right quotient ring Q of a ring R, then R is right McCoy if and only if Q is right McCoy. Moreover, some examples to answer questions raised naturally in the process are also given.

2. **Matrix Rings Over Mccoy Rings**

In this section, whether the property "McCoy" is Morita invariant and the property "McCoy" of some subring of upper triangular matrix ring are investigated.

We start with the following fact that is due to [5, Theorem 2].

Lemma 2.1. *A ring R is right (resp., left) McCoy if and only if the ring*

$$R_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a & \dots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a \end{pmatrix} : a, a_{kl} \in R \right\}$$

is right (resp., left) McCoy for any $n \geq 1$.

Armendariz rings are McCoy, but there exists a McCoy ring which is not Armendariz. If R is a McCoy ring, then so is R_4 by Lemma 2.1. But R_4 is not Armendariz by [3, Example 3].

Based on Lemma 2.1, one may suspect that $M_n(R)$ or $T_n(R)$ over a McCoy ring R is still McCoy. But $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$, which is not right McCoy ring by [10], erases the possibility. Therefore, the property "McCoy" is not Morita invariant. In general, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *For any ring R, $M_n(R)$ (resp., $T_n(R)$) is neither left nor right McCoy for any $n > 1$.*

Proof. If $n = 2$, then we denote $A = C = e_{12}, B = e_{11}, D = -e_{22}$, where e_{ij}'s are the usual matrix units, and $f(x) = A + Bx,\ g(x) = C + Dx \in M_2(R)[x]$. It is clear that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. But if $f(x)P = 0$ or $Qg(x) = 0$ for some $P, Q \in M_2(R)$, then $P = Q = 0$.

If $n > 2$, then we denote

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x, \\ G_1(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} x, \\ F_2(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x, \\ G_2(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \in M_n(R)[x]. \end{aligned}$$

So $F_1(x)G_1(x) = 0$ and $F_2(x)G_2(x) = 0$. But if $SG_1(x) = 0$ or $F_2(x)T = 0$ for some $S, T \in M_n(R)$, then $S = T = 0$. Therefore, $M_n(R)$ is neither left nor right McCoy for any $n \geq 2$.

Note that $f(x), g(x) \in T_2(R)[x]$, and $F_i(x), G_i(x) \in T_n(R)[x]$ for any $i = 1, 2$ and $n > 2$. Similarly, it is proven that $T_n(R)$ is neither left nor right McCoy for any $n \geq 2$. □

Example 2.3. *A ring R is a right (resp., left) McCoy ring if and only if the ring*

$$V(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & f \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d, e, f \in R \right\}$$

is a right (resp., left) McCoy ring.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the case when R is right McCoy. The other case is similar.

"$\Rightarrow$". Let $F(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}A_ix^i,\ G(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}B_jx^j$ be nonzero polynomials in $V(R)[x]$ such that $F(x)G(x)=0$, where

$$A_i=a_{1i}(e_{11}+e_{44})+a_{2i}(e_{22}+e_{55})+a_{3i}(e_{33}+e_{66})+c_{1i}e_{12}+c_{2i}e_{34}+c_{3i}e_{56},$$

$$B_j=b_{1j}(e_{11}+e_{44})+b_{2j}(e_{22}+e_{55})+b_{3j}(e_{33}+e_{66})+d_{1j}e_{12}+d_{2j}e_{34}+d_{3j}e_{56}.$$

Denote

$$f_s(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{si}x^i,\ p_k(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}c_{ki}x^i,$$

$$g_t(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{tj}x^j,\ q_l(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}d_{lj}x^j,$$

where $1\le s,t,k,l\le 3$. Then we have

$$F(x)=f_1(x)(e_{11}+e_{44})+f_2(x)(e_{22}+e_{55})+f_3(x)(e_{33}+e_{66})+p_1(x)e_{12}+p_2(x)e_{34}+p_3(x)e_{56},$$

$$G(x)=g_1(x)(e_{11}+e_{44})+g_2(x)(e_{22}+e_{55})+g_3(x)(e_{33}+e_{66})+q_1(x)e_{12}+q_2(x)e_{34}+q_3(x)e_{56}.$$

To prove that $V(R)$ is a right McCoy ring, we may choose some fixed index s,t,k or l of the set $\{1,2,3\}$ for simplicity of statement. If $f_s(x)=0$ for some s, then we can choose $S=e_{12}$ if $s=1$, $S=e_{56}$ if $s=2$ and $S=e_{34}$ if $s=3$ such that $F(x)S=0$. Next suppose that $f_s(x)\neq 0$ for any s.

Case 1. $g_t(x)\neq 0$ for some t.

Assume $t=3$. From $F(x)G(x)=0$, we have $f_3(x)g_3(x)=0$. It implies that there exists nonzero $r_1\in R$ such that $f_3(x)r_1=0$. So $F(x)r_1e_{34}=0$.

Case 2. $g_t(x)=0$ for every t.

Since $G(x)\neq 0$, $q_l(x)\neq 0$ for some l. Assume $q_1(x)\neq 0$. Since $f_1(x)q_1(x)=0$, there exists nonzero $r_2\in R$ such that $f_1(x)r_2=0$, implying $F(x)r_2e_{12}=0$.

Therefore, $V(R)$ is a right McCoy ring.

"$\Leftarrow$". Assume that $f(x)g(x)=0$, where $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}a_ix^i\neq 0, g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}b_jx^j\neq 0, a_i,b_j\in R$. Let $F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(a_iI_6)x^i, G(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(b_jI_6)x^j\in V(R)[x]$, where I_6 is the identity matrix. Then $F(x)G(x)=[f(x)I_6][g(x)I_6]=0$. Hence, there exists nonzero $S=s_1(e_{11}+e_{44})+s_2(e_{22}+e_{55})+s_3(e_{33}+e_{66})+t_1e_{12}+t_2e_{34}+t_3e_{56}\in V(R)$ such that $F(x)S=0$ because $V(R)$ is McCoy. If $s_i\neq 0$ for some $i\in\{1,2,3\}$ then $f(x)s_i=0$. If $s_i=0$ for every i, then there exists $t_j\neq 0$ for some $j\in\{1,2,3\}$ since $S\neq 0$. We also have $f(x)t_j=0$. Thus, R is right McCoy. □

Remark 2.4. *(1) For a McCoy ring R, eRe may not be McCoy for some idempotent $e \in R$.*

(2) R may not be McCoy even if eRe is McCoy for every nontrivial idempotent e of R.

Proof. (1) Let R be a McCoy ring. Then $V(R)$ in Example 2.3 is McCoy. Set $e = e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{44} + e_{55} \in V(R)$. Then e is an idempotent of $V(R)$, but $eV(R)e \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is never McCoy by Theorem 2.2.

(2) Let $R = T_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Clearly, the nontrivial idempotents of R are $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Though R is not McCoy by Theorem 2.2, $eRe \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is McCoy for every nontrivial idempotent e of R. $\square$

Recall that both reversible rings and Armendariz rings are McCoy and abelian (i.e., each idempotent is central). So it is natural to observe the relationships between them. A ring R is said to be semi-commutative if $ab = 0$ implies $aRb = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. Nielsen showed that semi-commutative (hence abelian) rings need not be McCoy in [8, Section 3]. Conversely, $V(R)$ over each McCoy ring R is a non-abelian McCoy ring in Example 2.3.

3. **Other extensions of McCoy rings**

Basic extensions (including some kinds of polynomial rings and classical quotient rings) of McCoy rings are investigated in this section.

Proposition 3.1. *If R_1 and R_2 are right McCoy, then so is $R = R_1 \times R_2$.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (a_i, b_i)x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (c_j, d_j)x^j \in R[x]\backslash\{0\}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Set $f_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$, $f_2(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i$, $g_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j x^j$ and $g_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} d_j x^j$. Then $f_1(x)g_1(x) = 0 = f_2(x)g_2(x)$. If $f_1(x) = 0$, then $f(x)(1, 0) = 0$. If $f_2(x) = 0$, then $f(x)(0, 1) = 0$. Next suppose $f_1(x) \neq 0$ and $f_2(x) \neq 0$. Since $g(x) \neq 0$, $g_1(x) \neq 0$ or $g_2(x) \neq 0$. If $g_1(x) \neq 0$, then there exists nonzero $s_1 \in R_1$ such that $f_1(x)s_1 = 0$. Thus $f(x)(s_1, 0) = 0$. If $g_2(x) \neq 0$, then there exists nonzero $s_2 \in R_2$ such that $f_2(x)s_2 = 0$. Thus $f(x)(0, s_2) = 0$. Therefore, R is right McCoy. $\square$

It is natural to ask whether R is a McCoy ring if for any nonzero proper ideal I of R, R/I and I are McCoy, where I is considered as a McCoy ring without identity. However, we have a negative answer to this question by the following example.

Example 3.2. *Let F be a field and consider $R = T_2(F)$, which is not McCoy by Theorem 2.2. Next we show that R/I and I are McCoy for any nonzero proper ideal I of R. Note that the only nonzero proper ideals of R are $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.*

First, let $I = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $R/I \cong F$ and so R/I is McCoy obviously. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c_j & d_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) x^j$ be nonzero polynomials of $I[x]$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$, implying

$$f_1(x)g_1(x) = f_1(x)g_2(x) = 0, \qquad (*)$$

where $f_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i, g_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j x^j, g_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} d_j x^j \in F[x]$. If $f_1(x) = 0$, then $f(x)e_{11} = 0$. Suppose $f_1(x) \neq 0$. Since $g(x) \neq 0$, $g_1(x) \neq 0$ or $g_2(x) \neq 0$. From the equation $(*)$ and the condition that F is right McCoy, we have $f_1(x)s = 0$ for some nonzero $s \in F$, whence $f(x)(se_{11}) = 0$. Thus, I is right McCoy, and I is left McCoy since $e_{12}g(x) = 0$. Next let $J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then R/J and J are McCoy by the same method. Finally, let $K = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $R/K \cong F \bigoplus F$ is McCoy. Since for any $h(x) \in K[x]$, $h(x)e_{12} = e_{12}h(x) = 0$, K is obviously McCoy.

A classical right quotient ring for R is a ring Q which contains R as a subring in such a way that every regular element (i.e., non-zero-divisor) of R is invertible in Q and $Q = \{ab^{-1} : a, b \in R,\ b \text{ regular}\}$. A ring R is called right Ore if given $a, b \in R$ with b regular there exist $a_1, b_1 \in R$ with b_1 regular such that $ab_1 = ba_1$. Classical left quotient rings and left Ore rings are defined similarly. It is a well-known fact that R is a right (resp., left) Ore ring if and only if the classical right (resp., left) quotient ring of R exists.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that there exists the classical right quotient ring Q of a ring R. Then R is right McCoy if and only if Q is right McCoy.*

Proof. "⇒". Let $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_i x^i$ and $G(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_j x^j$ be nonzero polynomials of $Q[x]$ such that $F(x)G(x) = 0$. Since Q is a classical right quotient ring, we may assume that $\alpha_i = a_i u^{-1}$, $\beta_j = b_j v^{-1}$ with a_i, $b_j \in R$ for all i, j and regular elements u, $v \in R$ by [6, Proposition 2.1.16]. For each j, there exist $c_j \in R$ and a regular element $w \in R$ such that $u^{-1}b_j = c_j w^{-1}$ also by [6, Proposition 2.1.16]. Denote $f_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $g_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j x^j$. Then the equation

$$f_1(x)g_1(x)(vw)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(a_i c_j)(vw)^{-1}x^{i+j} = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_i(u^{-1}b_j)v^{-1}x^{i+j} = F(x)G(x) = 0$$

implies $f_1(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Thus, there exists a nonzero element $s \in R$ such that $f_1(x)s = 0$, i.e., $a_i s = 0$ for every i. Then $\alpha_i(us) = a_i s = 0$ for every i. It implies that $F(x)(us) = 0$ and us is a nonzero element of Q. Hence, Q is right McCoy.

"$\Leftarrow$". Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x]\backslash\{0\}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Then there exists a nonzero element $\alpha \in Q$ such that $f(x)\alpha = 0$ since Q is right McCoy. Because Q is a classical right quotient ring, we can assume $\alpha = au^{-1}$ for some $a \in R\backslash\{0\}$ and regular element u. Then $f(x)au^{-1} = f(x)\alpha = 0$ implies that $f(x)a = 0$. Therefore, R is a right McCoy ring. $\square$

By the Goldie Theorem, if R is semiprime left and right Goldie ring, then R has the classical left and right quotient ring. Hence there exists a class of rings satisfying the following hypothesis.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that there exists the classical left and right quotient ring Q of a ring R. Then R is McCoy if and only if Q is McCoy.*

Recall that for a ring R with a ring endomorphism $\alpha : R \to R$, a skew polynomial ring $R[x;\alpha]$ is the ring obtained by giving the polynomial ring over R with the new multiplication $xr = \alpha(r)x$ for all $r \in R$. And a Laurent polynomial ring $R[x;x^{-1}]$ is the ring consisting of all formal sums $\sum_{i=k}^{n} r_i x^i$ with obvious addition and multiplication, where $r_i \in R$ and k, n are (possibly negative) integers. For rings $R[x]/(x^n)$ and $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$, we always consider $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.5. *For a ring R and an endomorphism α of R, the following statements hold:*

(1) R is a right McCoy ring iff $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is right McCoy.

(2) If α is monic and R is a left McCoy ring, then $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is left McCoy.

(3) If $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and R is a left McCoy ring, then $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is left McCoy.

(4) If α is an automorphism and $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is left McCoy, then R is a left McCoy ring.

Proof. Let $F(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} f_i y^i$, $G(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{q} g_j y^j$ be nonzero polynomials in $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)[y]$ such that $F(y)G(y) = 0$, where $f_i = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_{is} x^s$, $g_j = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} b_{jt} x^t \in R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$; let $k_s(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} a_{is} y^i$ and $h_t(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{q} b_{jt} y^j$. Then

$$[\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} k_s(y)x^s][\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} h_t(y)x^t] = F(y)G(y) = 0. \qquad (*)$$

(1) For the "only if" part, suppose $k_0(y) \neq 0$ and $h_k(y) \neq 0$ with k minimal. Then $k_0(y)h_k(y) = 0$ by the equation $(*)$. Hence, there exists nonzero $r_1 \in R$ such that $k_0(y)r_1 = 0$, implying $F(y)(r_1x^{n-1}) = 0$. If $k_0(y) = 0$, then $F(y)x^{n-1} = 0$. Therefore, $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is right McCoy.

For the "if" part, let $f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} a_iy^i$, $g(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{q} b_jy^j \in R[y]\backslash\{0\}$ such that $f(y)g(y) = 0$. Because $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ are nonzero polynomials of $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)[y]$ and $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is right McCoy, there exists a nonzero polynomial $h_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_kx^k$ of $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ such that $f(y)h_1(x) = 0$. Let $c_{k_0} \neq 0$ with k_0 minimal. Thus, $f(y)c_{k_0} = 0$. Hence, R is right McCoy.

(2) If $h_0(y) = 0$, then $x^{n-1}G(y) = 0$. Next suppose that $h_0(y) \neq 0$ and $k_l(y) \neq 0$ with l minimal. Thus $k_l(y)x^lh_0(y) = 0$, implying that $k_l(y)[\sum_{j=0}^{q} \alpha^l(b_{j0})y^j] = 0$. Since α is a monomorphism, $\alpha^l(b_{j0})$ are not all zero, i.e., $\sum_{j=0}^{q} \alpha^l(b_{j0})y^j \neq 0$. Because R is left McCoy, there exists $r_2 \in R\backslash\{0\}$ such that $r_2[\sum_{j=0}^{q} \alpha^l(b_{j0})y^j] = 0$. It implies that $r_2\alpha^l(b_{j0}) = 0$ for every j, whence $\alpha^{n-1-l}(r_2)\alpha^{n-1}(b_{j0}) = 0$ and $\alpha^{n-1-l}(r_2) \neq 0$. So $[\alpha^{n-1-l}(r_2)x^{n-1}]G(y) = 0$. It shows that $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is left McCoy.

(3) The proof of (2) needs only minor modifications to apply here. If $h_0(y) = 0$, then $x^{n-1}G(y) = 0$. Next suppose that $h_0(y) \neq 0$ and $k_l(y) \neq 0$ with l minimal. By $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $k_l(y)x^lh_0(y) = 0$, we have that $k_l(y)[\sum_{j=0}^{q} \alpha(b_{j0})y^j] = 0$. If all $\alpha(b_{j0}) = 0$, then $x^{n-1}G(y) = 0$. If $\alpha(b_{j0})$ are not all zero, then there exists $r_3 \in R\backslash\{0\}$ such that $r_3[\sum_{j=0}^{q} \alpha(b_{j0})y^j] = 0$ since R is left McCoy. It implies that $r_3\alpha(b_{j0}) = 0$ for every j, whence $(r_3x^{n-1})G(y) = 0$. Thus $R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is left McCoy.

(4) Let $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ be the same as the "if" part in (1). Using a similar proof, we can obtain that there exist nonzero $d_{l_0} \in R$ and $0 \leq l_0 \leq n-1$ such that $d_{l_0}x^{l_0}g(y) = 0$, i.e., $d_{l_0}\alpha^{l_0}(b_j) = 0$ for every j. Because α is an automorphism, there exists a nonzero element $d'_{l_0} \in R$ such that $\alpha^{l_0}(d'_{l_0}) = d_{l_0}$. So $d'_{l_0}b_j = 0$ for every j, whence $d'_{l_0}g(y) = 0$. Therefore, R is a left McCoy ring. □

Example 3.6. *Let R be a left McCoy ring. Consider $R_m (m \geq 2)$ in Lemma 2.1 and define $\alpha : R_m \to R_m$ by $\alpha(A) = aI_m$, where a is the entry on the main diagonal of $A \in R_m$. Then R_m and $R_m[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ are left McCoy by Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.5 (3) respectively.*

From Example 3.6, we know that the condition "$R[x;\alpha]/(x^n)$ is left McCoy" does not imply that α is monic or epic.

Lemma 3.7. *Let R be a ring and Δ be a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting entirely of central regular elements. Then R is right McCoy if and only if $\Delta^{-1}R$ is right McCoy.*

Proof. Observe that it is easy to find a common denominator for finite sets of elements in $\Delta^{-1}R$. Using the same way as Theorem 3.3, the proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.8. *For a ring R, the following are equivalent:*

(1) R is a right McCoy ring.

(2) $R[x]$ is a right McCoy ring.

(3) $R[x; x^{-1}]$ is a right McCoy ring.

(4) $R[x]/(x^n)$ is a right McCoy ring.

(5) $R[\{x_\alpha\}]$ is right McCoy, where $\{x_\alpha\}$ is any set of commuting indeterminates over R.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) is due to [5, Theorem 1] and (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) is by Proposition 3.5 (1).

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Let $F(y), G(y) \in R[\{x_\alpha\}][y]$ with $F(y)G(y) = 0$. Then $F(y), G(y) \in R[x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, x_{\alpha_n}][y]$ for some finite subset $\{x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, x_{\alpha_n}\} \subseteq \{x_\alpha\}$. Following "(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)" and by induction, the ring $R[x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, x_{\alpha_n}]$ is right McCoy, so there exists nonzero $h_1 \in R[x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, x_{\alpha_n}] \subseteq R[\{x_\alpha\}]$ such that $F(y)h_1 = 0$. Hence, $R[\{x_\alpha\}]$ is right McCoy.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is similar to "(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)".

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Let $\Delta = \{1, x, x^2, \cdots\}$. Then clearly Δ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[x]$ consisting entirely of central regular elements. Since $R[x; x^{-1}] = \Delta^{-1}R[x]$, $R[x; x^{-1}]$ is right McCoy iff $R[x]$ is right McCoy by Lemma 3.7. □

According to [4], an endomorphism α of a ring R is said to be rigid if $a\alpha(a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$ for every $a \in R$. Later, Hong et al. called a ring R an α-rigid ring [2] if there exists a rigid endomorphism α of R. Clearly, if R is an α-rigid ring, then α is a monomorphism and R is reduced (hence McCoy). Combining Proposition 3.5 (1) and (2), we obtain

Corollary 3.9. *If R is an α-rigid ring, then $R[x; \alpha]/(x^n)$ is a McCoy ring.*

If R is an α-rigid ring, then $R[x; \alpha]$ is McCoy because $R[x; \alpha]$ is a reduced ring by [4, Corollary 3.4] or [2, Proposition 5]. In general, $R[x; \alpha]$ may not be McCoy even if R is a commutative reduced ring and α is an automorphism of R. To show it, we use a ring given in [3, Example 6].

Example 3.10. *Let* $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ *and* $\alpha : R \to R$ *defined by* $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$. *Then both* R *and* $R[x; \alpha]/(x^n)$ *are McCoy, but* $R[x; \alpha]$ *is neither left nor right McCoy.*

Proof. R is a McCoy ring since R is commutative, and so is $R[x; \alpha]/(x^n)$ by Proposition 3.5 (2) since α is an automorphism of R. Let $f(y) = (1, 0) + [(1, 0)x]y$ and $g(y) = (0, 1)+[(1, 0)x]y$ be elements in $R[x; \alpha][y]$. Then $f(y)g(y) = 0$. Denote $h_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m}(a_i, b_i)x^i$, $h_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(c_j, d_j)x^j \in R[x; \alpha]$. If $f(y)h_1(x) = 0$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{m}(a_i, 0)x^i+[\sum_{i=0}^{m}(b_i, 0)x^{i+1}]y = 0$, whence $a_i = b_i = 0$ for all i. Thus $h_1(x) = 0$. If $h_2(x)g(y) = 0$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(c_j, d_j)\alpha^j((0, 1))x^j+[\sum_{j=0}^{n}(c_j, d_j)\alpha^j((1, 0))x^{j+1}]y = 0$, whence $c_j = d_j = 0$ for all j. Thus $h_2(x) = 0$. Therefore, $R[x; \alpha]$ is neither left nor right McCoy. □

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10571026), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (2005207), and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20060286006).

REFERENCES

[1] D. D. Anderson and V. Camillo, Armendariz rings and Gaussian rings, Comm. Algebra 26(7)(1998)2265-2272.

[2] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 151(3)(2000)216-226.

[3] N. K. Kim, Y. Lee, Armendariz rings and reduced rings, J. Algebra 223(2000)447-488.

[4] J. Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings, Algebra Colloq. 3(4)(1996)289-300.

[5] Z. Lei, J. L. Chen and Z. L. Ying, A question on McCoy rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 76(2007)137-141.

[6] J. C. McConnell, J. C. Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 1987.

[7] N. H. McCoy, Remarks on divisors of zero, Amer.Math.Monthly 49(1942)286-295.

[8] P. P. Nielsen, Semi-commutativity and the McCoy condition, J. Algebra 298(2006)134-141.

[9] M.B. Rege, S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 73(1997)14-17.

[10] L. Weiner, Concerning a theorem of McCoy, Amer. Math. Monthly 59(2)(1952)336-337.